

**INFORMATION SHEET
 DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS
 RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK
 COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS**

DISTRICT OFFICE: Nashville
FILE NUMBER: 990008540

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: Carl Olsen **Date:** 14 April 2004

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office __ (Y/N) **Date:** _____
 At the project site Y (Y/N) **Date:** 24 Mar 2004

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: Tennessee
County: White
Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: lat 36-3-21 lon 85-31-31
Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): ± 328 ac total
Name of waterway or watershed: Falling Water River

SITE CONDITIONS:

| Type of aquatic resource ¹ | 0-1 ac | 1-3 ac | 3-5 ac | 5-10 ac | 10-25 ac | 25-50 ac | > 50 ac | Linear feet | Unknown |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|----------|----------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Lake | | | | | | | | | |
| River | | | | | | | | | |
| Stream | | | | | | | | | |
| Dry Wash | | | | | | | | | |
| Mudflat | | | | | | | | | |
| Sandflat | | | | | | | | | |
| Wetlands | | | | xx | | | | | |
| Slough | | | | | | | | | |
| Prairie pothole | | | | | | | | | |
| Wet meadow | | | | | | | | | |
| Playa lake | | | | | | | | | |
| Vernal pool | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural pond | | | | | | | | | |
| Other water (identify type) | | | | | | | | | |
| _____ | | | | | | | | | |

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

| Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ : | If Known | | If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment | | |
|---|----------|----|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Yes | No | Predicted to Occur | Not Expected to Occur | Not Able To Make Determination |
| Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties? | xx | | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines? | xx | | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species? | | | | xx | |
| Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce? | | xx | | | |

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION: Preliminary xx Or Approved __.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

Excess hydrology from the wetlands drains down grade to a sinkhole (no further surface connection to waters of the U.S.) with no identifiable connection to navigable waters of the U.S.